

December 2022

Exploring Wine Tourism in Non-Traditional Wine Regions: A Comparative Analysis

By: P. Manion & N. Lindquist

Abstract

This academic article delves into the emerging trend of wine tourism in non-traditional wine regions, highlighting the factors driving its growth and the unique experiences it offers to tourists. Through a comparative analysis of case studies, this article examines the evolution of wine tourism in diverse regions and explores the economic, social, and cultural implications of this phenomenon. By examining the challenges and opportunities faced by non-traditional wine regions, this article contributes to the understanding of the broader wine tourism industry.

Keywords: wine tourism, non-traditional wine regions, emerging markets, cultural experiences, economic impact

Introduction

Wine tourism, a niche segment of the broader tourism industry, has traditionally been associated with well-established wine-producing regions such as Bordeaux in France, Napa Valley in the United States, and Tuscany in Italy. However, in recent years, a new trend has emerged, characterized by the rise of wine tourism in non-traditional wine regions. Non-traditional wine regions, often located in areas previously unrecognized for their viticultural potential, are gaining prominence as destinations that offer unique and diverse experiences to wine enthusiasts. This article seeks to explore the drivers, characteristics, and impacts of wine tourism in non-traditional wine regions through a comparative analysis of selected case studies.

Factors Driving Wine Tourism in Non-Traditional Wine Regions

1.1 Economic Factors: Non-traditional wine regions often have cost advantages over established wine destinations, allowing them to offer competitive prices for both wine and tourism-related services. This economic incentive attracts budget-conscious travelers, contributing to the growth of wine tourism in these regions (Mitchell, 2017).

1.2 Cultural and Authentic Experiences: Tourists are increasingly seeking authentic and immersive experiences that provide insights into local cultures and traditions. Non-traditional wine regions often offer a more intimate and genuine connection with winemaking practices, encouraging visitors to engage with local communities (Hall & Mitchell, 2017).

1.3 Diversification of Offerings: To compete with established wine regions, non-traditional destinations often diversify their tourism offerings by integrating wine-related activities with other attractions such as culinary experiences, outdoor adventures, and cultural events (Macionis, 2019).

Comparative Case Studies

2.1 Emerging Wine Tourism in India's Nashik Valley: Nashik Valley in India has gained recognition as a non-traditional wine region with a burgeoning wine tourism industry. The Sula Vineyards, one of the pioneers in the region, offers visitors a chance to witness the transformation of traditional Indian agriculture while indulging in wine tasting (Charters et al., 2015). The integration of local cuisine, music, and festivals enriches the cultural experience for tourists.

2.2 Uruguay's Tannat Trail: Uruguay, known for its distinctive Tannat grape variety, has embraced wine tourism to promote its unique viticultural heritage. The Tannat Trail connects various wineries, showcasing the country's wine production while allowing visitors to explore charming villages and cultural landmarks (Durham & Rissotto, 2019).

2.3 China's Ningxia: Bridging Tradition and Modernity: Ningxia, China's emerging wine region, presents an interesting blend of tradition and modernity in its wine tourism offerings. By combining ancient Silk Road heritage with contemporary winemaking practices, Ningxia creates a distinct narrative that appeals to both local and international tourists (Zhang & Li, 2020).

Economic, Social, and Cultural Implications:

3.1 Economic Impact: Wine tourism in non-traditional regions has the potential to stimulate local economies by generating revenue through tourism-related services, creating jobs, and boosting infrastructure development (Mitchell, 2017).

3.2 Social and Cultural Benefits: The growth of wine tourism can lead to enhanced community engagement, preservation of cultural heritage, and increased pride among local residents. Interaction between tourists and locals facilitates cross-cultural exchange and fosters mutual understanding (Macionis, 2019).

3.3 Challenges and Sustainability: Non-traditional wine regions may encounter challenges related to limited infrastructure, lack of awareness, and quality standards. Ensuring sustainable growth requires careful planning, collaboration, and balancing tourism development with environmental and cultural preservation (Hall & Mitchell, 2017).

Conclusion

Wine tourism in non-traditional wine regions represents a dynamic and evolving trend in the broader wine and tourism industries. By capitalizing on economic, cultural, and authentic experiences, these regions are carving a niche for themselves in a competitive market. Comparative case studies, such as India's Nashik Valley, Uruguay's Tannat Trail, and China's Ningxia, demonstrate the diverse ways in which non-traditional wine regions leverage their unique attributes to create compelling tourism offerings. As these regions continue to develop, it is crucial to strike a balance between economic growth and sustainable practices to ensure the long-term viability of wine tourism in non-traditional wine regions.

References

1. Cavicchi, A.; Santini, C. *Food and Wine Events in Europe: A Stakeholder Approach*; Routledge: London, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2014.
2. Mair, J.; Whitford, M. An exploration of events research: Event topics, themes and emerging trends. *Int. J. Event Festiv. Manag.* 2013, 4, 6–30.
3. Getz, D. *Event Studies: Theory, Research and Policy for Planned Events*; Elsevier: Oxford, UK, 2007.
4. Getz, D. Policy for sustainable and responsible festivals and events: Institutionalization of a new paradigm. *J. Policy Res. Tour. Leis. Events* 2009, 1, 61–78.
5. Mulrow, C.D. Rationale for systematic reviews. *Br. Med. J.* 1994, 309, 597–599.
6. Briner, R.B.; Walshe, N.D. From passively received wisdom to actively constructed knowledge: Teaching systematic review skills as a foundation of evidence-based management. *Acad. Manag. Learn. Educ.* 2014, 13, 415–432.
7. Tranfield, D.; Denyer, D.; Smart, P. Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *Br. J. Manag.* 2003, 14, 207–222.
8. Briner, R.B.; Denyer, D. Systematic review and evidence synthesis as a practice and scholarship tool. In *Handbook of Evidence-Based Management: Companies, Classrooms and Research*; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2012; pp. 112–129.
9. Boote, D.N.; Beile, P. Scholars before researchers: On the centrality of the dissertation literature review in research preparation. *Educ. Res.* 2005, 34, 3–15.
10. McCole, D. T., Holecek, D. & Popp, A (2014). Research update: Understanding the travel behaviors of wine tourists in Michigan's Leelanau Peninsula. *The Northern Grapes News*, 3 (3), pp. 3-6.
11. Hart, C. *Doing a Literature Review: Releasing the Social Science Research Imagination*; Sage: New York, NY, USA, 1998.
12. Butler, R.W. Sustainable tourism: A state-of-the-art review. *Tour. Geogr.* 1999, 1, 7–25.
13. Hunter, C. Sustainable tourism as an adaptive paradigm. *Ann. Tour. Res.* 1997, 24, 850–867.
14. Sigala, M. A supply chain management approach for investigating the role of tour operators on sustainable tourism: The case of TUI. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2008, 16, 1589–1599.
15. Blamey, R.K. Ecotourism: The search for an operational definition. *J. Sustain. Tour.* 1997, 5, 109–130.
16. Donohoe, H.M.; Needham, R.D. Ecotourism: The evolving contemporary definition. *J. Ecotour.* 2006, 5, 192–210.
17. Holecek, D., McCole, D. T., & Lee, J. (2016). Tasting Room Visitor Surveys: Experience with and Enjoyment of Cold-Hardy Wines. *The Northern Grapes News*, 5(2), pp. 3-5.
18. Gössling, S.; Hansson, C.B.; Hörstmeier, O.; Saggel, S. Ecological footprint analysis as a tool to assess tourism sustainability. *Ecol. Econ.* 2002, 43, 199–211.
19. Buckley, R. Evaluating the net effects of ecotourism on the environment: A framework, first assessment and future research. *J. Sustain. Tour.* 2009, 17, 643–672.
20. Gössling, S. Ecotourism: A means to safeguard biodiversity and ecosystem functions? *Ecol. Econ.* 1999, 29, 303–320.
21. Weaver, D.B. The evolving concept of ecotourism and its potential impacts. *Int. J. Sustain. Dev.* 2002, 5, 251–264.
22. Wilson, S.; Fesenmaier, D.R.; Fesenmaier, J.; van Es, J.C. Factors for success in rural tourism development. *J. Travel Res.* 2001, 40, 132–138.
23. Britton, S.G. The political economy of tourism in the ThirdWorld. *Ann. Tour. Res.* 1982, 9, 331–358.
24. Clarke, J. A framework of approaches to sustainable tourism. *J. Sustain. Tour.* 1997, 5, 224–233.
25. Bramwell, B.; Lane, B. Sustainable tourism: Contributing to the debates. *J. Sustain. Tour.* 1999, 7, 1–5.
26. McCole, D. T. (2022) Hybrid wine grapes and emerging wine tourism regions. In Dixit, S. K. (ed.), *The Routledge Handbook of Wine Tourism*, 603-613. London: Routledge. ISBN: 9780367698607
27. Charters, S.; Ali-Knight, J. Who is the wine tourist? *Tour. Manag.* 2002, 23, 311–319.
28. McCole, D. T., Holecek, D. & Popp, A (2016). Travel behaviors of wine tourists in Michigan's Leelanau Peninsula. https://www.canr.msu.edu/grapes/wine_tourism/travel-behaviors-of-wine-tourists-in-michigan-s-leelanau-peninsula
29. Getz, D.; Brown, G. Benchmarking wine tourism development: The case of the Okanagan Valley, British Columbia, Canada. *Int. J. Wine Mark.* 2006, 18, 78–97.
30. Broom D. 1998. Secret gardens. *Decanter* 23 (6): 50 – 52.

31. Brown G, Getz D. 2005. Linking wine preferences to the choice of wine tourism destinations. *Journal of Travel Research* 43 (3): 266 – 276.
32. Brunori G, Rossi A. 2000. Synergy and coherence through collective action: some Insights from wine routes in Tuscany. *Sociologia Ruralis* 40 (4): 409 – 423.
33. Charters S, Ali-Knight J. 2002. Who is the wine tourist? *Tourism Management* 23 (3): 311 – 319.
34. Charters S, Menival D. 2011. Wine tourism in Champagne. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research* 35 (1): 102 – 118.
35. Churchill GA, Iacobucci D. 2009. *Marketing Research: Methodological Foundations* (9th edn). Thomson South-Western : Mason, Ohio, USA.
36. Croce E, Perri G. 2010. *Food and Wine Tourism*. CAB International : Wallingford, U.K.
37. Dawson D, Fountain J, Cohen DA. 2011. Seasonality and the lifestyle “conundrum”: an analysis of lifestyle entrepreneurship in wine tourism regions. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research* 16 (5): 551 – 572.
38. DiMaggio PJ, Powell WW. 1983. The iron cage revisited: institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review* 48 (2): 147 – 160.
39. McCole, D. T. & Vogt, C., (2011). Informing sustainability decisions: The role of parks, recreation, and tourism scholars in addressing unsustainability. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*. 29(3), 38-54.
40. Douglas SP, Craig CS. 2006. On improving the conceptual foundations of international marketing research. *Journal of International Marketing* 14 (1): 1 – 22.
41. Fraenkel JR, Wallen NE. 1993. *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education* (2nd edn). McGraw Hill : New York.
42. Popp, L. (2013). *Understanding the Push and Pull Motivations and Itinerary Patterns of Wine Tourists*. Master dissertation. Michigan State University. Retrieved from https://d.lib.msu.edu/islandora/object/etd:550/datastream/OBJ/download/UNDERSTANDING_THE_PUSH_AND_PULL_MOTIVATIONS_AND_ITINERARY_PATTERNS_OF_WINE_TOURISTS.pdf
43. Getz D. 2000. *Explore Wine Tourism: Management, Development, and Destinations*. Cognizant Communication Corporation : New York.
44. Getz D, Brown G. 2006. Critical success factors for wine tourism regions: a demand analysis. *Tourism Management* 27 (1): 146 – 158.
45. Maleté, L., McCole, D., Tshepang, T., Ocansey, R., Mphela, T., Maro, C., Adamba, C., & Kazi, J. (2022). Effects of a sport-based positive youth development program on youth life skills and entrepreneurial mindsets. *PloS one*, 17(2), e0261809.
46. Gómez M, Molina A. 2012. Wine tourism in Spain: denomination of origin effects on brand equity. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 14 (4): 353 – 368.